Missouri Attorney General's Opinions - 2015

INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Angela Daniels to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-011). Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Angela Daniels to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-012). Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Todd Jones amending Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (16-007). Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Dan Viets amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution (16-009). Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Nicholas Raines to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2016-013).
INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	petition submitted by Angela Daniels to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-012). Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Todd Jones amending Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (16-007). Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Dan Viets amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution (16-009). Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Nicholas Raines to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2016-013).
INITIATIVES. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Todd Jones amending Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (16-007). Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Dan Viets amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution (16-009). Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Nicholas Raines to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2016-013).
INITIATIVES. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Dan Viets amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution (16-009). Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Nicholas Raines to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2016-013).
INITIATIVES.	petition submitted by Nicholas Raines to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2016-013).
L2 INITIATIVE PETITION.	Davious and approval of a summary statement recovered for the
INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Todd Jones regarding a proposed amendment to Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2016-007).
INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Dan Viets regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2016-009).
INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Angela Daniels to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-015).
L6 INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Angela Daniels to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-016).
26 INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Nicholas Raines amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (16-013).
INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Aundrea Riffle to amend Chapter 195, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-017).
	INITIATIVES. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES. INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVE PETITION.

12-2015	Feb 9	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Nicholas Raines regarding a proposed amendment to Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2016-013).
13-2015	Feb 9	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Stacy Shore to amend Article IX of the Missouri Constitution (2016-019).
14-2015	Feb 9	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2016-020).
15-2015	Feb 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-021).
16-2015	Feb 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-022).
17-2015	Feb 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2016-023).
18-2015	Feb 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2016-024).
19-2015	Feb 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2016-025).
20-2015	Feb 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Stacy Shore to amend Article IX of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-026).
21-2015	Feb 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Stacy Shore to amend Article IX of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-027).
22-2015	Feb 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Stacy Shore to amend Article IX of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2016-028).
23-2015	Feb 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Stacy Shore to amend Article IX of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2016-029).
24-2015	Feb 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Aundrea Riffle to amend Chapter 195, Revised

			Statutes of Missouri (2016-030).
<u>25-2015</u>	Mar 9	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Michael Dean (2016-031).
<u>26-2015</u>	Mar 12	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (16-021).
27-2015	Mar 12	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (16-022).
28-2015	Mar 12	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Stacy Shore amending Article IX of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (16-026).
29-2015	Mar 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Michael Dean (2016-032).
30-2015	Mar 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Angela Daniels to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2016-033).
31-2015	Mar 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Aundrea Riffle amending Chapter 195, Revised Statutes of Missouri (16-030).
32-2015	Mar 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-021).
33-2015	Mar 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-022).
34-2015	Mar 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Stacy Shore regarding a proposed amendment to Article IX of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-026).
<u>35-2015</u>	Mar 30	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Aundrea Riffle regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 195, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-030).
36-2015	Apr 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Michael Dean (2016-034).

37-2015	Apr 6	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Angela Daniels amending Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (16-033).
38-2015	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Angela Daniels regarding a proposed amendment to Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2016-033).
39-2015	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-037).
40-2015	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapters 290 & 67, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-038).
41-2015	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-039).
42-2015	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 21, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-040).
43-2015	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapters 130 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-041).
44-2015	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-042).
<u>45-2015</u>	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald (Gary) Peterson to amend Chapter 196, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-043).
46-2015	Apr 20	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald (Gary) Peterson to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-044).
47-2015	Apr 27	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2016-045).
48-2015	Apr 27	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2016-046).
49-2015	Apr 27	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich to amend Chapter 290, Revised

			Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2016-047).
<u>50-2015</u>	Apr 27	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-048).
51-2015	Apr 27	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-049).
52-2015	May 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Michael Dean to amend Chapter 610, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-050).
53-2015	May 11	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution (16-037).
<u>54-2015</u>	May 11	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution (16-039).
<u>55-2015</u>	May 14	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapters 21 & 105, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-051).
<u>56-2015</u>	May 14	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapters 130 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-052).
<u>57-2015</u>	May 14	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 67, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-053).
<u>58-2015</u>	May 15	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (16-045).
<u>59-2015</u>	May 15	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (16-046).
60-2015	May 15	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (16-047).
61-2015	May 21	INITIATIVE PETITION.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative

		INITIATIVES.	petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution (2016-054).
62-2015	May 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution (2016-055).
63-2015	May 22	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Jeffrey Mazur to amend Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2016-056).
64-2015	May 22	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Justin Wilson to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-057).
65-2015	May 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-037).
66-2015	May 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-039).
67-2015	May 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version C (2016-058).
68-2015	May 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version D (2016-059).
69-2015	May 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version E (2016-060).
70-2015	May 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapters 130 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-061).
71-2015	May 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-062).
72-2015	May 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-063).
73-2015	June 1	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1

			(2016-045).
74-2015	June 1	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2016-046).
75-2015	June 1	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2016-047).
76-2015	June 1	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by John Ashcroft to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2016-064).
77-2015	June 11	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield amending Article X of the Missouri Constitution (16-054).
78-2015	June 11	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield amending Article X of the Missouri Constitution (16-055).
79-2015	June 11	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Jeffrey Mazur amending Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (16-056).
80-2015	June 11	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Justin Wilson amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution (16-057).
81-2015	June 12	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield amending Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version C (16-058).
82-2015	June 12	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield amending Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version D (16-059).
83-2015	June 12	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield amending Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version E (16-060).
84-2015	June	INITIATIVE PETITION.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative

	15	INITIATIVES.	petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-065).
85-2015	June 18	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapters 130 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (16-061).
86-2015	June 18	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (16-062).
87-2015	June 18	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (16-063).
88-2015	June 22	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by John Ashcroft amending Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (16-064).
89-2015	June 22	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed amendment to Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version A (2016-054).
90-2015	June 22	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed amendment to Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version B (2016-055).
91-2015	June 22	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Jeffrey Mazur regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2016-056).
92-2015	June 22	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Justin Wilson regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-057).
93-2015	June 29	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed amendment to Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version C (2016-058).
94-2015	June 29	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed amendment to Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version D (2016-059).

<u>95-2015</u>	June 29	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed amendment to Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version E (2016-060).
<u>96-2015</u>	June 29	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 130 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-061).
97-2015	June 29	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-062).
98-2015	June 29	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-063).
99-2015	July 6	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution (16-065).
100-2015	July 6	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by John Ashcroft regarding a proposed amendment to Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2016-064).
<u>101-2015</u>	July 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-065).
102-2015	Aug 3	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2016-066).
103-2015	Aug 3	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (2016-067).
104-2015	Aug 3	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (2016-068).
105-2015	Aug 3	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (2016-069).
106-2015	Aug 3	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich to amend Chapter 290, Revised

			Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (2016-070).
107-2015	Aug 3	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (2016-071).
108-2015	Aug 3	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (2016-072).
109-2015	Aug 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (16-066).
110-2015	Aug 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (16-067).
111-2015	Aug 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (16-068).
112-2015	Aug 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (16-069).
113-2015	Aug 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (16-070).
114-2015	Aug 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (16-071).
115-2015	Aug 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich amending Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (16-072).
116-2015	Aug 27	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield to amend Chapter 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2016-079).

117-2015	Aug 27	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield to amend Chapter 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2016-080).
118-2015	Aug 31	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2016-081).
119-2015	Aug 31	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2016-082).
120-2015	Aug 31	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2016-083).
121-2015	Aug 31	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2016-084).
122-2015	Aug 31	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (2016-085).
123-2015	Aug 31	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (2016-086).
124-2015	Sept 8	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2016-066).
125-2015	Sept 8	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (2016-067).
126-2015	Sept 8	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (2016-068).
127-2015	Sept 8	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (2016-069).
128-2015	Sept 8	INITIATIVE PETITION.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the

		INITIATIVES.	initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (2016-070).
129-2015	Sept 8	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (2016-071).
130-2015	Sept 8	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (2016-072).
131-2015	Sept 14	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Mike Grimes to amend Chapter 393, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-088).
132-2015	Sept 14	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven L. Reed to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2016-089).
133-2015	Sept 14	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-090).
134-2015	Sept 14	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-091).
135-2015	Sept 18	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield amending Chapter 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (16-079).
136-2015	Sept 18	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield amending Chapter 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (16-080).
137-2015	Sept 18	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (16-081).
138-2015	Sept 18	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (16-082).

139-2015	Sept 18	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (16-083).
140-2015	Sept 18	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (16-084).
141-2015	Sept 18	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (16-085).
142-2015	Sept 18	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (16-086).
143-2015	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-092).
144-2015	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-093).
145-2015	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-094).
146-2015	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2016-095).
147-2015	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2016-096).
148-2015	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2016-097).
149-2015	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (2016-098).
<u>150-2015</u>	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative

		INITIATIVES.	petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 7 (2016-099).
151-2015	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 8 (2016-100).
152-2015	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 9 (2016-101).
153-2015	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 10 (2016-102).
154-2015	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 11 (2016-103).
155-2015	Sept 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 12 (2016-104).
156-2015	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (2016-105).
157-2015	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (2016-106).
158-2015	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (2016-107).
159-2015	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (2016-108).
160-2015	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 11 (2016-109).
161-2015	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 12 (2016-110).
162-2015	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 13 (2016-111).

<u>163-2015</u>	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 14 (2016-112).
164-2015	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 15 (2016-113).
165-2015	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 16 (2016-114).
166-2015	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 17 (2016-115).
<u>167-2015</u>	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 13 (2016-116).
<u>168-2015</u>	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 14 (2016-117).
169-2015	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 15 (2016-118).
170-2015	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2016-079).
<u>171-2015</u>	Sept 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2016-080).
172-2015	Oct 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Grimes amending Chapter 393, Revised Statutes of Missouri (16-088).
173-2015	Oct 5	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven L. Reed to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-119).
<u>174-2015</u>	Oct 5	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven L. Reed to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-120).

175-2015	Oct 5	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (16-090).
176-2015	Oct 5	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (16-091).
177-2015	Oct 5	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2016-081).
178-2015	Oct 5	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2016-082).
179-2015	Oct 5	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2016-083).
180-2015	Oct 5	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2016-084).
181-2015	Oct 5	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (2016-085).
182-2015	Oct 5	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (2016-086).
183-2015	Oct 8	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown to amend Chapter 178, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-121).
184-2015	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple amending Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (16-092).
185-2015	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note

		INITIATIVES.	summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (16-093).
186-2015	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (16-094).
187-2015	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (16-095).
188-2015	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (16-096).
189-2015	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (16-097).
190-2015	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (16-098).
191-2015	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 7 (16-099).
192-2015	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 8 (16-100).
193-2015	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 9 (16-101).
194-2015	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 10 (16-102).

<u>195-2015</u>	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 11 (16-103).
196-2015	Oct 13	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 12 (16-104).
197-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (16-105).
198-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (16-106).
<u>199-2015</u>	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (16-107).
200-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (16-108).
201-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 11 (16-109).
202-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 12 (16-110).
203-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 13 (16-111).
204-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri,

			version 14 (16-112).
205-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 15 (16-113).
206-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 16 (16-114).
207-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 17 (16-115).
208-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 13 (16-116).
209-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 14 (16-117).
210-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 15 (16-118).
211-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-124).
212-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon to amend Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-125).
213-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Mike Grimes regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 393, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-088).
214-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-090).

215-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-091).
<u>216-2015</u>	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by John Lamping to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-126).
217-2015	Oct 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Jane Dueker to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-127).
218-2015	Oct 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Steven L. Reed amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (16-119).
219-2015	Oct 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Steven L. Reed amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (16-120).
220-2015	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-092).
221-2015	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-093).
<u>222-2015</u>	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-094).
<u>223-2015</u>	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2016-095).
224-2015	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2016-096).
225-2015	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed

			amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2016-097).
226-2015	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (2016-098).
227-2015	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 7 (2016-099).
228-2015	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 8 (2016-100).
229-2015	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 9 (2016-101).
230-2015	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a revised summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 10 (2016-102).
231-2015	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a revised summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 11 (2016-103).
232-2015	Oct 26	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a revised summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 12 (2016-104).
233-2015	Oct 30	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Brad Bradshaw to amend Article XV of the Missouri Constitution (2016-128).
234-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (2016-105).
235-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed

			amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (2016-106).
236-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (2016-107).
237-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (2016-108).
238-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 11 (2016-109).
239-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 12 (2016-110).
240-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 13 (2016-111).
241-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 14 (2016-112).
242-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 15 (2016-113).
243-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 16 (2016-114).
244-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 17 (2016-115).
245-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the

		INITIATIVES.	initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 13 (2016-116).
246-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 14 (2016-117).
247-2015	Nov 2	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 15 (2016-118).
248-2015	Nov 9	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by John Lamping amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution (16-126).
249-2015	Nov 9	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Jane Dueker amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution (16-127).
250-2015	Nov 9	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Steven L. Reed regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-119).
251-2015	Nov 9	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Steven L. Reed regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-120).
252-2015	Nov 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2016-134).
253-2015	Nov 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon to amend Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (2016-135).
254-2015	Nov 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 19 (2016-136).
255-2015	Nov 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 20 (2016-137).
256-2015	Nov 16	INITIATIVE PETITION.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative

		INITIATIVES.	petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 21 (2016-138).
257-2015	Nov 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 22 (2016-139).
258-2015	Nov 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 23 (2016-140).
259-2015	Nov 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 24 (2016-141).
260-2015	Nov 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 25 (2016-142).
261-2015	Nov 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 26 (2016-143).
262-2015	Nov 16	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 27 (2016-144).
263-2015	Nov 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Brad Bradshaw amending Article XV of the Missouri Constitution (16-128).
264-2015	Nov 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 28 (2016-145).
265-2015	Nov 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 29 (2016-146).
266-2015	Nov 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 30 (2016-147).
267-2015	Nov 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 31 (2016-148).
268-2015	Nov 19	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 32 (2016-149).

269-2015	Nov 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by John Lamping regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-126).
270-2015	Nov 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Jane Dueker regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-127).
271-2015	Nov 30	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lisa Pannett to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2016-150).
272-2015	Nov 30	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown to amend Chapter 178, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-151).
273-2015	Dec 3	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2016-152).
274-2015	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon amending Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (16 134).
275-2015	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon amending Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (16-135).
276-2015	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 19 (16-136).
277-2015	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 20 (16-137).
278-2015	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 21 (16-138).
279-2015	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 22 (16-139).

280-2015	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 23 (16-140).
281-201 <u>5</u>	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 24 (16-141).
<u>282-2015</u>	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 25 (16-142).
283-2015	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 26 (16-143).
284-20 <u>15</u>	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 27 (16-144).
<u>285-2015</u>	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Brad Bradshaw regarding a proposed amendment to Article XV of the Missouri Constitution (2016-128).
286-2015	Dec 7	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Chapters 196 & 210, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-153).
<u>287-2015</u>	Dec 10	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 28 (16-145).
288-2015	Dec 10	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 29 (16-146).
289-2015	Dec 10	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 30 (16-147).

Dec 10	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 31 (16-148).
Dec 10	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 32 (16-149).
Dec 14	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 128, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-154).
Dec 14	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 33 (2016-155).
Dec 14	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 34 (2016-156).
Dec 14	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 35 (2016-157).
Dec 14	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 36 (2016-158).
Dec 17	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple to amend Chapter 128, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-159).
Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon regarding a proposed amendment to Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2016-134).
Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon regarding a proposed amendment to Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (2016-135).
Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 19 (2016-136).
	Dec 14 Dec 14 Dec 14 Dec 14 Dec 14 Dec 14 Dec 21	Dec 10 INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES. Dec 14 INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES. Dec 17 INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES. Dec 21 INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES. Dec 21 INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.

301-2015	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 20 (2016-137).
<u>302-2015</u>	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 21 (2016-138).
<u>303-2015</u>	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 22 (2016-139).
<u>304-2015</u>	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 23 (2016-140).
<u>305-2015</u>	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 24 (2016-141).
<u>306-2015</u>	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 25 (2016-142).
<u>307-2015</u>	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 26 (2016-143).
<u>308-2015</u>	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 27 (2016-144).
309-2015	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 28 (2016-145).
<u>310-2015</u>	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 29

			(2016-146).
311-2015	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 30 (2016-147).
312-2015	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 31 (2016-148).
313-2015	Dec 21	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of a summary statement prepared for the initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed amendment to Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 32 (2016-149).
314-2015	Dec 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim amending Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (16-152).
315-2015	Dec 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 37 (2016-160).
316-2015	Dec 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 38 (2016-161).
317-2015	Dec 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 39 (2016-162).
318-2015	Dec 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 40 (2016-163).
319-2015	Dec 23	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the legal content and form of a fiscal note summary prepared for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher amending Chapters 196 & 210, Revised Statutes of Missouri (16-153).
320-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andy Zellers to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (2016-173).
321-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andy Zellers to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 11 (2016-174).

322-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andy Zellers to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 12 (2016-175).
323-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andy Zellers to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 13 (2016-176).
324-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andy Zellers to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 14 (2016-177).
325-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andy Zellers to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 15 (2016-178).
326-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andy Zellers to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 16 (2016-179).
327-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andy Zellers to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 17 (2016-180).
328-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andrew Linhares to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2016-181).
329-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andrew Linhares to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2016-182).
330-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andrew Linhares to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2016-183).
331-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and rejection of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andrew Linhares to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2016-184).
332-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andrew Linhares to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (2016-185).
333-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andrew Linhares to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (2016-186).
334-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andrew Linhares to amend Chapter 386, Revised

			Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (2016-187).
335-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andrew Linhares to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (2016-188).
336-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andrew Linhares to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (2016-189).
337-2015	Dec 28	INITIATIVE PETITION. INITIATIVES.	Review and approval of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andy Zellers to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 18 (2016-190).



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 1-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2014, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Angela Daniels (2016-011).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.3, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 2-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 22, 2014, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Angela Daniels (2016-012).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.3, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 3-2015

The Honorable Thomas A. Schweich Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Schweich:

This office received your letter of December 22, 2014, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Todd Jones (16-007). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

It is estimated this proposal will increase state government costs by at least \$118,000 annually and have an unknown change in costs for local governmental entities. Any potential impact to revenues for state and local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 4-2015

The Honorable Thomas A. Schweich Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Schweich:

This office received your letter of December 23, 2014, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Dan Viets (16-009). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State government expects \$1 million in startup costs and annual operating costs starting at \$4.9 million, possibly offset by unknown savings in the criminal justice system. Legislative and agency actions will impact potential increased state revenue. The annual revenue increase is unknown but could exceed \$75 million. The fiscal impact to local governments is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 5, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 5-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 26, 2014, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Nicholas Raines (2016-013).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 12, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 6-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 2, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Todd Jones regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2016-007). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- establish limits on campaign contributions by individuals or entities to political parties, political committees, or committees to elect candidates for state or judicial office;
- prohibit individuals and entities from intentionally concealing the source of such contributions;
- require corporations or labor organizations to meet certain requirements in order to make such contributions; and

 provide a complaint process and penalties for any violations of this amendment?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 7-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 6, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Dan Viets regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution (2016-009). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- allow the production, sale, distribution, and consumption of marijuana and hemp products by persons at least 21 years old;
- permit the state to establish a tax and authorize regulations and licensing procedures for marijuana;
- change criminal provisions for marijuana offenses and allow individuals who have certain marijuana-related offenses to apply to have the records relating to the offenses expunged; and

allow the use of marijuana for medical purposes?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 8-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 8, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Angela Daniels, version 1 (2016-015).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 9-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 8, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Angela Daniels, version 2 (2016-016).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

January 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 10-2015

The Honorable Thomas A. Schweich Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Schweich:

This office received your letter of January 15, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Nicholas Raines (16-013). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State government expects annual operating costs starting at \$900,000 and an unknown increase in public health costs, possibly offset by unknown savings in the criminal justice system. Possible increased sales tax revenue is unknown. The fiscal impact to local governments is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

January 30, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 11-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 20, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Aundrea Riffle (2016-017).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 9, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 12-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 28, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Nicholas Raines regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution (2016-013). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- legalize marijuana for personal, medical, and commercial purposes;
- release all persons who have non-violent, marijuana-related offenses from incarceration, probation, and parole, and expunge the records of their offense; and
- prohibit state funds and law enforcement from being used to enforce federal marijuana laws?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed

as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 9, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 13-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 28, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Stacy Shore (2016-019).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KÖSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 9, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 14-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated January 28, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter (2016-020).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 15-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Jill Carter, version 1 (2016-021).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 16-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Jill Carter, version 2 (2016-022).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

...

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 17-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter, version 3 (2016-023).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY

65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 18-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter, version 4 (2016-024).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 19-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter, version 5 (2016-025).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 20-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IX of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Stacy Shore, version 1 (2016-026).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 21-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Stacy Shore, version 2 (2016-027).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 22-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Stacy Shore, version 3 (2016-028).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 23-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Stacy Shore, version 4 (2016-029).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

February 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 24-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 13, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 195, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Aundrea Riffle (2016-030).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 9, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 25-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated February 27, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Michael Dean (2016-031).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KÖSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 12, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 26-2015

The Honorable John Watson Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Watson:

This office received your letter of March 2, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter, version 1 (16-021). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Any potential costs or savings from this proposal to state and local governmental entities is unknown. However, the proposal's passage will likely lead to increased litigation-related costs and impact the ability of state and local governments to provide certain health and welfare services.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 12, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 27-2015

The Honorable John Watson Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Watson:

This office received your letter of March 2, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Jill Carter, version 2 (16-022). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Any potential costs or savings from this proposal to state and local governmental entities is unknown. However, the proposal's passage will likely lead to increased litigation-related costs and impact the ability of state and local governments to provide certain health and welfare services.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 12, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 28-2015

The Honorable John Watson Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Watson:

This office received your letter of March 2, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Stacy Shore, version 1 (16-026). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The proposal could risk the state's eligibility to receive federal education funds of approximately \$800 million annually. Increased annual costs for schools operated by a state agency are estimated at approximately \$6 million. Local schools would be impacted by any lost federal funding.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 29-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 4, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Michael Dean (2016-0032).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 30-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 5, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Angela Daniels (2016-033).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 31-2015

The Honorable John Watson Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Watson:

This office received your letter of March 6, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Aundrea Riffle (16-030). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Any potential costs or savings to state and local government entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 32-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 13, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Jill Carter regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-021). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- prohibit any law that interferes with parents' decisions regarding their children, including custody, upbringing, education, religious instruction, discipline, physical and mental health care, and place of habitation; and
- prohibit all government entities from pursuing any action that does not allow parents to make those decisions, unless the decision threatens clear, immediate, and substantial physical injury to the child?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 33-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 13, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Jill Carter regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-022). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- prohibit any law that interferes with parents' decisions regarding their children, including custody, upbringing, education, religious instruction, discipline, physical and mental health care, and place of habitation; and
- prohibit all government entities from pursuing any action that does not allow parents to make those decisions, unless the decision threatens clear, immediate, and substantial physical injury to the child?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTEI



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

March 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 34-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 13, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Stacy Shore regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article IX of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-026). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- limit the duties of the state board of education, placing certain duties with local public school districts;
- prohibit the state board from requiring schools or school districts to take any action, administer any test, or implement any policy; and
- grant each school district the authority to determine teacher and administrator qualifications, student curriculum, and requirements for students to advance from one grade to the next?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 30, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 35-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Aundrea Riffle regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 195, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-030). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- change prison terms for nonviolent felony drug offenders serving a sentence without probation or parole by allowing such offenders to serve a minimum percentage of their prison term, depending on the number of previous convictions;
- allow courts to lower a sentence if an individual with these offenses is serving a sentence without probation or parole; and
- permit courts to order alternative sentences for these offenses, including restitution, treatment

programs, community service, and work release programs?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 36-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated March 23, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Michael Dean (2016-034).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

April 6, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 37-2015

The Honorable John Watson Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Watson:

This office received your letter of March 25, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Angela Daniels (16-033). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

It is estimated this proposal will increase state government costs up to \$285,000 annually or \$57,000 per election, and have an unknown increase in costs for local governmental entities.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

April 20, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 38-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Angela Daniels regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2016-033). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

> Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to allow individuals who have been convicted of a felony or are in prison to be eligible to vote, unless such individual has been convicted of treason or a voting-related felony?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

April 20, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 39-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple (2016-037).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 20, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 40-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (2016-038).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

April 20, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 41-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple (2016-039).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 20, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 42-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (2016-040).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KÖSTER Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 20, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 43-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (2016-041).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 20, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 44-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (2016-042).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri

Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 20, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 45-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald (Gary) Peterson (2016-043).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KÖSTER Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 20, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 46-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Gerald (Gary) Peterson (2016-044).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 27, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 47-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Lara Granich, version 1 (2016-045).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 27, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 48-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Lara Granich, version 2 (2016-046).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

April 27, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 49-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Lara Granich, version 3 (2016-047).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

April 27, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 50-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (2016-048).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

April 27, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 51-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (2016-049).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 52-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated April 27, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Michael Dean (2016-050).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 11, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 53-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 29, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (16-037). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Reduced legislative operating costs would result in annual estimated savings to state government of at least \$9.3 million. An unknown change in election related costs is expected for state and local governments.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 11, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 54-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of April 29, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (16-039). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

It is estimated this proposal will result in no costs or savings for state and local governmental entities.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 14, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 55-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 4, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (2016-051).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 14, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 56-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 4, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (2016-052).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 14, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 57-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 4, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (2016-053).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 15, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 58-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of May 5, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich, version 1 (16-045). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Increased state and local government expenses resulting from this proposal may be up to \$397 million annually if funding is appropriated. State and local government tax revenue could increase by an estimated \$489 million annually; however, employment decisions made by businesses could impact this estimate.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 15, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 59-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of May 5, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich, version 2 (16-046). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Increased state and local government expenses resulting from this proposal may be up to \$125 million annually if funding is appropriated. State and local government tax revenue could increase by an estimated \$249 million annually; however, employment decisions made by businesses could impact this estimate.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 15, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 60-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of May 5, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich, version 3 (16-047). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Increased state and local government expenses resulting from this proposal may be up to \$73 million annually if funding is appropriated. State and local government tax revenue could increase by an estimated \$189 million annually; however, employment decisions made by businesses could impact this estimate.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 61-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 11, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Chuck Hatfield (2016-054).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General

OP-2015-0075



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 62-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 11, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Chuck Hatfield (2016-055).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 22, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 63-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 12, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Jeffrey Mazur, version 1 (2016-056).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 22, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 64-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 12, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Justin Wilson (2016-057).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 65-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 13, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-037). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to change the process for electing members of the Missouri House of Representatives by:

- reducing the number to 50 members and electing each member statewide rather than by legislative district;
- allowing political parties to select their nominees by a statewide primary election, caucuses, party nominating convention, or a combination of caucuses and a convention;

- permitting independent candidates to qualify for the general election by submitting a certain number of signatures; and
- awarding House seats based on the total statewide percentage received in the general election by each political party and independent candidates?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 66-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 13, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-039). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to repeal term limits for members of the General Assembly?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 67-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 13, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Chuck Hatfield, version C (2016-058).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 68-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 13, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Chuck Hatfield, version D (2016-059).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 69-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 13, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Chuck Hatfield, version E (2016-060).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

May 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 70-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 130 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2016-061).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 71-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2016-062).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

May 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 72-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2016-063).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 1, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 73-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 20, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2016-045). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with a \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2023, when the minimum wage would be \$15.00 per hour;
- adjust the state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2024;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages from 50% to 60% of the state minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 1, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 74-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 20, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2016-046). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with a \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2020, when the minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour;
- adjust the state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2021;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages from 50% to 60% of the state minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

(573) 751-3321

P.O. Box 899

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 1, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 75-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 20, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2016-047). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with a \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2019, when the minimum wage would be \$11.00 per hour;
- adjust the state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2020;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages from 50% to 60% of the state minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 1, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 76-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated May 21, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by John Ashcroft (2016-064).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 11, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 77-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 1, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield (16-054). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Potential costs to state and local governmental entities are unknown, but could be significant. The proposal's passage would impact governmental entity's ability to revise their tax structures. State and local governments expect no savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (579) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 11, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 78-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 1, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield (16-055). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Potential costs to state and local governmental entities are unknown, but could be significant. The proposal's passage would impact governmental entity's ability to revise their tax structures. State and local governments expect no savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 11, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 79-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 1, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Jeffrey Mazur, version 1 (16-056). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State universities and governmental entities estimate one-time costs exceeding \$100,000 with the total costs being unknown and increased annual costs of at least \$115,000. Local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 11, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 80-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 1, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Justin Wilson (16-057). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governmental entities expect no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

(573) 751-3321

June 12, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 81-2015

P.O. Box 899

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of June 2, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield, version C (16-058). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Potential costs to state and local governmental entities are unknown, but could be significant. The proposal's passage would impact governmental entity's ability to revise their tax structures. State and local governments expect no savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 12, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 82-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 2, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield, version D (16-059). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Potential costs to state and local governmental entities are unknown, but could be significant. The proposal's passage would impact governmental entity's ability to revise their tax structures. State and local governments expect no savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 12, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 83-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 2, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield, version E (16-060). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Potential costs to state and local governmental entities are unknown, but could be significant. The proposal's passage would impact governmental entity's ability to revise their tax structures. State and local governments expect no savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 15, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 84-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 3, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Winston Apple (2016-065).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KÖSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 18, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 85-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 8, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (16-061). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State government entities expect one-time costs of about \$159,000 and annual costs exceeding \$100,000. Also, an unknown amount of revenue will not be available for state General Revenue Fund spending. Local governmental entities expect no costs or savings from this proposal.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 18, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 86-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 8, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (16-062). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

If approved, state governmental entities estimate annual revenue increases of about \$617 million to fund the proposed program. State government may lose \$50 million in federal funding annually and businesses in the state may have increased federal unemployment tax costs of \$889 million annually. The fiscal impact to local government is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 18, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 87-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 8, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (16-063). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The program is required to be revenue neutral. Therefore no costs or savings are expected for state and local governmental entities.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 22, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 88-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 10, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by John Ashcroft (16-064). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The proposal will result in no direct costs or savings for state and local governmental entities. If the state legislature passes a general law as allowed by this proposal the potential costs or savings would be based on the legislation established, which is unknown at this time.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 22, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 89-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 12, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version A (2016-054). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to prohibit a new state sales/use tax on any service or activity that was not subject to a sales/use tax as of January 1, 2015?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 22, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 90-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 12, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version B (2016-055). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to prohibit a new state tax on any service or activity that was not subject to a tax as of January 1, 2015?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 22, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 91-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 12, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Jeffrey Mazur regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 208, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2016-056). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to require in-home service providers and vendors to pay an employee at least 85 percent of the state funds they received for the service provided by the employee to eligible individuals?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 22, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 92-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 12, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Justin Wilson regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-057). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to change the age eligibility requirement from 24 to 21 years old for members of the Missouri House of Representatives and from 30 to 27 years old for members of the Missouri Senate?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 29, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 93-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version C (2016-058). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to prohibit a new state or local sales/use tax on any service or activity that was not subject to a sales/use tax as of January 1, 2015?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 29, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 94-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version D (2016-059). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to prohibit a new state or local tax on any service or activity that was not subject to a tax as of January 1, 2015?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 29, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 95-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article X of the Missouri Constitution, version E (2016-060). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to prohibit a new state or local sales/use or other similar tax on any service or transaction that was not subject to a sales/use or similar tax as of January 1, 2015?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General

OP-2015-0111



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

June 29, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 96-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 19, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 130 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-061). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to create a voluntary, taxpayer-funded program that provides public financing to political candidates, in the form of matching funds, if the candidate agrees to limit campaign contributions they accept from individuals and entities?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City 65102

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 29, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 97-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 19, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-062). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to impose a 10 percent capital gains tax on Missouri residents to create a state program that provides public sector jobs to eligible Missouri residents in lieu of unemployment benefits?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General

OP-2015-0114



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

June 29, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 98-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 19, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-063). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- require the state to offer health insurance to individuals to cover medically necessary treatments and procedures;
- charge premiums and set out-of-pocket maximums to individuals who sign up for this state-issued insurance; and
- allow the state to issue bonds to pay start-up costs and budget shortfalls?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

July 6, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 99-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of June 23, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (16-065). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Reduced legislative operating costs would result in annual estimated savings to state government of at least \$9.3 million. An unknown change in election related costs is expected for state and local governments.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 6, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 100-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated June 25, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by John Ashcroft regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VIII of the Missouri Constitution (2016-064). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to allow a law that would require voters to identify themselves and verify their qualifications to vote by providing identification, which may include a valid governmentissued photo identification?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

July 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 101-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This revised opinion letter responds to your request dated July 8, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-065). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to change the process for electing members of the Missouri House of Representatives by:

- reducing the number to 50 members and electing each member statewide rather than by legislative district;
- allowing political parties to select their nominees by a statewide primary election, caucuses, party nominating convention, or a combination of caucuses and a convention;

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

- permitting independent candidates to qualify for the general election by submitting a certain number of signatures; and
- awarding House seats based on the total statewide percentage received in the general election by each political party and independent candidates?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 3, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 102-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Lara Granich, version 4 (2016-066).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 3, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 103-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Lara Granich, version 5 (2016-067).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 3, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 104-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Lara Granich, version 6 (2016-068).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 3, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 105-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Lara Granich, version 7 (2016-069).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 3, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 106-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Lara Granich, version 8 (2016-070).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 3, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 107-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Lara Granich, version 9 (2016-071).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 3, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 108-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated July 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Lara Granich, version 10 (2016-072).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 109-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of August 11, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich, version 4 (16-066). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Increased state and local government expenses resulting from this proposal could exceed \$305 million annually if funding is appropriated. State and local government tax revenue could increase by more than \$249 million annually; however, employment decisions made by businesses could impact this estimate.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 110-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of August 11, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich, version 5 (16-067). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Increased state and local government expenses resulting from this proposal could exceed \$216 million annually if funding is appropriated. State and local government tax revenue could increase by more than \$249 million annually; however, employment decisions made by businesses could impact this estimate.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 111-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of August 11, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich, version 6 (16-068). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Increased state and local government expenses resulting from this proposal could exceed \$420 million annually if funding is appropriated. State and local government tax revenue could increase by an estimated \$489 million annually; however, employment decisions made by businesses could impact this estimate.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 112-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of August 11, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich, version 7 (16-069). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Increased state and local government expenses resulting from this proposal could exceed \$305 million annually if funding is appropriated. State and local government tax revenue could increase by more than \$249 million annually; however, employment decisions made by businesses could impact this estimate.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 113-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of August 11, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich, version 8 (16-070). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Increased state and local government expenses resulting from this proposal could exceed \$216 million annually if funding is appropriated. State and local government tax revenue could increase by more than \$249 million annually; however, employment decisions made by businesses could impact this estimate.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 114-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of August 11, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich, version 9 (16-071). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Increased state and local government expenses resulting from this proposal could exceed \$140 million annually if funding is appropriated. State and local government tax revenue could increase by an estimated \$249 million annually; however, employment decisions made by businesses could impact this estimate.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 115-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of August 11, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Lara Granich, version 10 (16-072). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Increased state and local government expenses resulting from this proposal could exceed \$86 million annually if funding is appropriated. State and local government tax revenue could increase by an estimated \$188 million annually; however, employment decisions made by businesses could impact this estimate.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 27, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 116-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Chuck Hatfield, version 1 (2016-079).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

August 27, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 117-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Chuck Hatfield, version 2 (2016-080).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 31, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 118-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 19, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 1 (2016-081).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 31, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 119-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 19, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 2 (2016-082).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 31, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 120-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 19, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 3 (2016-083).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 31, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 121-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 19, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 4 (2016-084).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 31, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 122-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 19, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 5 (2016-085).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

August 31, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 123-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 19, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 6 (2016-086).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-0321

September 8, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 124-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 27, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2016-066). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with a \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2022, when the minimum wage would be \$14.00 per hour;
- adjust the state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2023;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages from 50% to 60% of the state minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 8, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 125-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 27, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (2016-067). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with a \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2021, when the minimum wage would be \$13.00 per hour;
- adjust the state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2022;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages from 50% to 60% of the state minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (578) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 8, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 126-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 27, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (2016-068). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with a \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2023, when the minimum wage would be \$15.00 per hour;
- adjust the state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2024;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2019) from 50% of state minimum wage to full minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 8, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 127-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 27, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (2016-069). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with a \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2022, when the minimum wage would be \$14.00 per hour;
- adjust the state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2023;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2019) from 50% of state minimum wage to full minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 8, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 128-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 27, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (2016-070). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with a \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2021, when the minimum wage would be \$13.00 per hour;
- adjust the state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2022;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2019) from 50% of state minimum wage to full minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 8, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 129-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 27, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (2016-071). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with a \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2020, when the minimum wage would be \$12.00 per hour;
- adjust the state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2021;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2019) from 50% of state minimum wage to full minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-0321

September 8, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 130-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated August 27, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Lara Granich regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 290, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (2016-072). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase the state minimum wage to \$9.00 per hour with a \$1.00 per hour increase each year until 2019, when the minimum wage would be \$11.00 per hour;
- adjust the state minimum wage based on changes in the Consumer Price Index each January beginning in 2020;
- mandate that the state minimum wage at least equal the federal minimum wage;

- increase the employer portion of tipped employee wages (each year until 2019) from 50% of state minimum wage to full minimum wage; and
- increase the penalty for paying employees less than the minimum wage?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 14, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 131-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 2, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 393, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Mike Grimes (2016-088).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-0321

September 14, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 132-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 4, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Steven L. Reed (2016-089).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (578) 751-8321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 14, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 133-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 4, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2016-090).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 14, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 134-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 4, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2016-091).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 18, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 135-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 8, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield, version 1 (16-079). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State government revenue will increase by approximately \$95 million to \$103 million annually when cigarette and tobacco tax increases are fully implemented. Local government revenues could decrease approximately \$3 million annually due to decreased cigarette and tobacco sales.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 18, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 136-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 8, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield, version 2 (16-080). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State government revenue will increase by approximately \$95 million to \$103 million annually when cigarette and tobacco tax increases are fully implemented, with the new revenue earmarked for transportation infrastructure. Local government revenues could decrease approximately \$3 million annually due to decreased cigarette and tobacco sales.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 18, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 137-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 8, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 1 (16-081). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

When cigarette and tobacco tax increases are fully implemented, estimated additional revenue to state government is \$200 million to \$214 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 18, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 138-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 8, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 2 (16-082). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

When cigarette and tobacco tax increases are fully implemented, estimated additional revenue to state government is \$200 million to \$214 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs and potential unknown litigation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3021

September 18, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 139-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 8, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 3 (16-083). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$200 million to \$222 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 18, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 140-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 8, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 4 (16-084). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$200 million to \$222 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs and potential unknown litigation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 18, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 141-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 8, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 5 (16-085). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

When cigarette and tobacco tax increases are fully implemented, estimated additional revenue to state government is \$200 million to \$214 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 18, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 142-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 8, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 6 (16-086). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

When cigarette and tobacco tax increases are fully implemented, estimated additional revenue to state government is \$200 million to \$214 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs and potential unknown litigation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 143-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2016-092).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 144-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 1 (2016-093).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 145-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 2 (2016-094).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 146-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 3 (2016-095).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 147-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 4 (2016-096).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER
ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 148-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 5 (2016-097).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 149-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 6 (2016-098).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 150-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 7 (2016-099).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 151-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C, Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 8 (2016-100).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 152-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 9 (2016-101).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER
ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 153-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 10 (2016-102).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 154-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 11 (2016-103).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 155-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 12 (2016-104).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 156-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 7 (2016-105).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 157-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 8 (2016-106).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 158-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 9 (2016-107).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 159-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 10 (2016-108).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 160-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 11 (2016-109).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 161-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 12 (2016-110).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 162-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 13 (2016-111).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 163-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 14 (2016-112).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 164-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 15 (2016-113).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 165-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 16 (2016-114).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (579) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 166-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Edward Greim, version 17 (2016-115).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 167-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 13 (2016-116).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 168-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 14 (2016-117).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 169-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 15 (2016-118).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 170-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2016-079). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, 2019, and 2021, at which point this additional tax will total 23 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into the state's general revenue fund; and
- repeal these taxes if a measure to increase any tax or fee on cigarettes or other tobacco products

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

is certified to appear on any local or statewide ballot?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

September 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 171-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Chuck Hatfield regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2016-080). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, 2019, and 2021, at which point this additional tax will total 23 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- use funds generated by these taxes exclusively to fund transportation infrastructure projects; and
- repeal these taxes if a measure to increase any tax or fee on cigarettes or other tobacco products

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

is certified to appear on any local or statewide ballot?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 172-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 22, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Mike Grimes (16-088). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The fiscal impact to state government is unknown but would likely include implementation costs and could include litigation costs. The fiscal impact to local governments is unknown but could include a loss in tax revenues from electric utility suppliers and potential litigation costs.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 5, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 173-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 23, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Steven L. Reed, version 1 (2016-119).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER
ATTORNEY GENERAL 65

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 5, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 174-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 23, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Steven L. Reed, version 2 (2016-120).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 5, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 175-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 24, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (16-090). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate annual revenue increases of \$351 million to \$617 million to fund the proposed program. State government may lose \$50 million in federal funding annually and businesses in the state may have increased federal unemployment tax costs of \$889 million annually. Local governments report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 5, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 176-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 24, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (16-091). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

The program is required to be revenue neutral. Therefore no costs or savings are expected for state and local governmental entities.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 5, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 177-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 24, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 1 (2016-081). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, 2019, and 2021, at which point this additional tax will total 50 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price; and
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General

OP-2015-0205



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (578) 751-3321

October 5, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 178-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 24, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 2 (2016-082). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, 2019, and 2021, at which point this additional tax will total 50 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 5, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 179-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 24, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 3 (2016-083). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, at which point the additional tax will total 50 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price; and
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 5, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 180-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 24, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 4 (2016-084). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, at which point the additional tax will total 50 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 5, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 181-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 24, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 5 (2016-085). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, 2019, and 2021, at which point this additional tax will total 50 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund; and

 suspend these taxes by the amount of any additional tax on cigarettes and other tobacco products if those taxes are imposed by statewide ballot measure in the same time period?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 5, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 182-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 24, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 6 (2016-086). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, 2019, and 2021, at which point this additional tax will total 50 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund;

- suspend these taxes by the amount of any additional tax on cigarettes and other tobacco products if those taxes are imposed by statewide ballot measure in the same time period; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 8, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 183-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated September 28, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown (2016-121).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 184-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Winston Apple (16-092). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate annual revenue increases of \$351 million to \$617 million to fund the proposed program. State governmental entities estimate startup costs of \$360,000 and annual operating costs of \$169,000. The fiscal impact to local governments is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 185-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 1 (16-093). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governmental entities reported no fiscal impact except for minimal state incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 186-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 2 (16-094). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State and local governmental entities reported no fiscal impact except for minimal state incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 187-2015

P.O. Box 899

(573) 751-3321

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 3 (16-095). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities indicate annual operating costs may increase an estimated \$125,000 and result in minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 188-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 4 (16-096). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 189-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 5 (16-097). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 190-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 6 (16-098). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 191-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 7 (16-099). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate annual operating costs will increase at least \$61,000, may increase an additional \$125,000, and may result in minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 192-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 8 (16-100). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate annual operating costs will increase at least \$61,000, may increase an additional \$125,000, and may result in minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

6510

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 193-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 9 (16-101). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate annual operating costs will increase at least \$61,000, may increase an additional \$125,000, and may result in minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 194-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 10 (16-102). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 195-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 11 (16-103). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 13, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 196-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of September 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 12 (16-104). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

October 19, 2015

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

OPINION LETTER NO. 197-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 7 (16-105). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$200 million to \$250 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri Jefferson City 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 198-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 8 (16-106). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$246 million to \$313 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 199-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 9 (16-107). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$276 million to \$357 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 200-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 10 (16-108). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$367 million to \$492 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 201-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 11 (16-109). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$200 million to \$250 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (579) 751-3921

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 202-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 12 (16-110). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$246 million to \$313 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 203-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 13 (16-111). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$276 million to \$357 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 204-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 14 (16-112). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$367 million to \$492 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 205-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 15 (16-113). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

When cigarette and tobacco tax increases are fully implemented, estimated additional revenue to state government is \$200 million to \$250 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 206-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 16 (16-114). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$303 million to \$395 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 207-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim, version 17 (16-115). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$303 million to \$395 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 208-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 13 (16-116). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-8321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 209-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 14 (16-117). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 210-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 7, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 15 (16-118). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 211-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 8, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Sheila Dundon, version 1 (2016-124).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 890 (570) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 212-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 8, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Sheila Dundon, version 2 (2016-125).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 213-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 8, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Mike Grimes regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 393, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-088). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to allow retail customers of an electrical corporation to purchase electricity from any electric supplier that sells such services to retail customers in the state?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (578) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 214-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 8, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-090). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to impose a 10 percent capital gains tax on Missouri residents to create a state program that provides public sector jobs to eligible Missouri residents in lieu of unemployment benefits?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 215-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 8, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapter 192, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-091). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to:

- require the state to offer health insurance to individuals to cover medically necessary treatments and procedures;
- charge premiums and set out-of-pocket maximums to individuals who sign up for this state-issued insurance; and
- allow the state to issue bonds to pay start-up costs and budget shortfalls?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O.Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 216-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by John Lamping (2016-126).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 217-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Jane Dueker (2016-127).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 218-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of October 13, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Steven L. Reed, version 1 (16-119). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of \$980,000 to provide security at applicable career centers. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (579) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 219-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 13, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Steven L. Reed, version 2 (16-120). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of \$980,000 to provide security at applicable career centers. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 800 (573) 751-0321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 220-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Winston Apple regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 286 & 143, Revised Statutes of Missouri (2016-092). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall Missouri law be amended to impose a 10 percent capital gains tax on Missouri residents to create a state program that provides public sector jobs related to energy efficiency, wind and solar energy, and related jobs?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 221-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-093). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- change criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;
- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;

- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 222-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-094). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- change criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;
- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;

- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 223-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 3 (2016-095). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;
- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 890 (573) 751-3321

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 224-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 4 (2016-096). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- change criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities:
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;

- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 225-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2016-097). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- change criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;

- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 226-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (2016-098). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- change criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;

- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 227-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 7 (2016-099). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;

- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 228-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 8 (2016-100). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities:
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;

- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Very truly yours

Attorney General



GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 229-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 15, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 9 (2016-101). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;

- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



GHRIS KÖSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 230-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 21, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a revised proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 10 (2016-102). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving

as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and

 prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 231-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 21, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a revised proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 11 (2016-103). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving

as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and

 prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

October 26, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 232-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 21, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a revised proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 12 (2016-104). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving

as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and

prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-0321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

October 30, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 233-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 20, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Brad Bradshaw (2016-128).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 234-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 7 (2016-105). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, at which point the additional tax will total 50 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

Very truly yours,



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

CHRIS KÖSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 235-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 8 (2016-106). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, at which point the additional tax will total 63 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General

OP-2015-0266



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 809 (573) 751-3321

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 236-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 9 (2016-107). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, at which point the additional tax will total 72 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

Very truly yours,



65102

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 237-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 10 (2016-108). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, at which point the additional tax will total \$1.00 per pack of 20:
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (579) 751-3321

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 238-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 11 (2016-109). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, at which point the additional tax will total 50 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund;

- provide 5 percent of net proceeds of the funds generated to the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

Very truly yours,



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 239-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 12 (2016-110). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, at which point the additional tax will total 63 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund;

- provide 5 percent of net proceeds of the funds generated to the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

Very truly yours,



Attorney General of Missouri

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 240-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 13 (2016-111). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, at which point the additional tax will total 72 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund;

- provide 5 percent of net proceeds of the funds generated to the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

Very truly yours,



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 241-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 14 (2016-112). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, at which point the additional tax will total \$1.00 per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund;

- provide 5 percent of net proceeds of the funds generated to the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

Very truly yours,



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.Ö. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 242-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210 & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 15 (2016-113). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, 2019, and 2021, at which point this additional tax will total 50 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price; and
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 243-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 16 (2016-114). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, at which point the additional tax will total 80 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

Very truly yours,

10. 1

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 244-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Edward Greim regarding a proposed amendment to amend Chapters 210, 196, & 149, Revised Statutes of Missouri, version 17 (2016-115). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- increase taxes on cigarettes in 2017, at which point the additional tax will total 80 cents per pack of 20;
- increase the tax paid by sellers on other tobacco products by 5 percent of manufacturer's invoice price;
- deposit funds generated by these taxes into a newly established Early Childhood Health and Education Trust Fund;

- provide 5 percent of net proceeds of the funds generated to the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services; and
- change master tobacco settlement provisions?

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 245-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 13 (2016-116). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;

- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Very truly yours



65102

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 246-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 14 (2016-117). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;

- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 2, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 247-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 22, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 15 (2016-118). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;

- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 9, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 248-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of October 29, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by John Lamping (16-126). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Reduced legislative operating costs would result in annual estimated savings to state governmental entities of at least \$3.3 million. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 9, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 249-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of October 29, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Jane Dueker (16-127). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Reduced legislative operating costs would result in annual estimated savings to state governmental entities of at least \$3.3 million. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 9, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 250-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 29, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Steven L. Reed regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 1 (2016-119). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to allow Missourians to petition at the public entrances of Missouri Career Centers?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 9, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 251-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated October 29, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Steven L. Reed regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 2 (2016-120). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to allow Missourians to petition at the public entrances of Missouri Career Centers?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 252-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 5, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Sheila Dundon, version 5 (2016-134).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 253-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 5, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Sheila Dundon, version 6 (2016-135).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 254-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 5, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 19 (2016-136).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 255-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 5, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 20 (2016-137).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 256-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 5, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 21 (2016-138).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 257-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 5, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 22 (2016-139).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 258-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 5, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 23 (2016-140).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 259-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 5, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 24 (2016-141).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 260-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 5, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 25 (2016-142).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 261-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 5, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 26 (2016-143).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 16, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 262-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 5, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 27 (2016-144).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL erson City 65102

November 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 263-2015

P.O. Box 899

(573) 751-3321

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 9, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Brad Bradshaw (16-128). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to generate annual taxes and fees of \$45 million to \$58 million for medical research with state and local government implementation costs. Medical research job creation and other economic activity is likely to result in significant additional tax revenues to state and local governmental entities.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 264-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 28 (2016-145).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Jefferson City 65102

65102

P.O.Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 265-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 29 (2016-146).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 266-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 30 (2016-147).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 267-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 31 (2016-148).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 809 (573) 751-3321

November 19, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 268-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 9, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 32 (2016-149).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

November 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 269-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 12, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by John Lamping regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, (2016-126). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to reduce the number of members serving in the Missouri House of Representatives from 163 to 123 beginning in 2023?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General

......



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 270-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 12, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Jane Dueker regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution (2016-127). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to reduce the number of members serving in the Missouri House of Representatives from 163 to 123 beginning in 2023?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 809 (573) 751-3321

November 30, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 271-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 19, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Lisa Pannett (2016-150).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

November 30, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 272-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 20, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Theodis Brown (2016-151).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is authorized to

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 3, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 273-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 23, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article IV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Edward Greim (2016-152).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 274-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 25, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon, version 5 (16-134). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to generate additional annual taxes and fees of \$17 million to \$21 million for state operating costs and veterans programs, \$8 million for other state programs, and \$7 million for local governments. State operating costs will be significant. Additional local government costs are likely.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 275-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 25, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Sheila Dundon, version 6 (16-135). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

This proposal is estimated to generate additional annual taxes and fees of \$17 million to \$21 million for state operating costs and veterans programs, \$8 million for other state programs, and \$7 million for local governments. State operating costs will be significant. Additional local government costs are likely.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 276-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 25, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 19 (16-136). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate annual operating costs will increase at least \$61,000, may increase an additional \$125,000, and may result in minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 277-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 25, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 20 (16-137). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate annual operating costs will increase at least \$61,000, may increase an additional \$125,000, and may result in minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 278-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 25, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 21 (16-138). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate annual operating costs will increase at least \$61,000, may increase an additional \$125,000, and may result in minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-8321

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 279-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 25, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 22 (16-139). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 280-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 25, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 23 (16-140). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 281-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 25, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 24 (16-141). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



GHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 282-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 25, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 25 (16-142). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 283-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 25, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 26 (16-143). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (578) 751-3321

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 284-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 25, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 27 (16-144). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 285-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 25, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Brad Bradshaw regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XV of the Missouri Constitution (2016-128). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- allow the use of marijuana for medical purposes, and create regulations and licensing procedures for marijuana and marijuana facilities;
- impose a 75 percent tax on the retail sale of marijuana, and a 10 percent tax on the wholesale sale of marijuana to licensed facilities; and
- use funds from these taxes to establish and fund a state research institute to conduct research with the purpose of developing cures and treatments for cancer and other incurable diseases or medical conditions?

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 7, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 286-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated November 25, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapters 196 & 210, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Bradley Ketcher (2016-153).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 10, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 287-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 28 (16-145). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 10, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 288-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 29 (16-146). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 10, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 289-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 30 (16-147). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 10, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 290-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 31 (16-148). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 10, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 291-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of November 30, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 32 (16-149). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

State governmental entities estimate increased annual operating costs of at least \$61,000 and indicate there may be minimal incarceration costs if people are convicted under the provisions of this petition. Local governmental entities report no expected fiscal impact.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (578) 751-3321

December 14, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 292-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 2, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 128, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2016-154).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 14, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 293-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 4, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 33 (2016-155).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 14, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 294-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 4, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 34 (2016-156).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 14, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 295-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 4, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 35 (2016-157).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 14, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 296-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 4, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 36 (2016-158).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER

Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 17, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 297-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 7, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 128, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Winston Apple (2016-159).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 298-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sheila Dundon regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article I of the Missouri Constitution, version 5 (2016-134). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- allow the use of marijuana for medical purposes, and create regulations and licensing procedures for marijuana and marijuana facilities;
- impose a 4 percent tax on the retail sale of marijuana; and
- use funds from these taxes for health and care services for military veterans by the Missouri Veterans Commission and to administer the program to license and regulate marijuana and marijuana facilities?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-8321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 299-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Sheila Dundon regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XVI of the Missouri Constitution, version 6 (2016-135). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- allow the use of marijuana for medical purposes, and create regulations and licensing procedures for marijuana and marijuana facilities;
- impose a 4 percent tax on the retail sale of marijuana; and
- use funds from these taxes for health and care services for military veterans by the Missouri Veterans Commission and to administer the program to license and regulate marijuana and marijuana facilities?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 300-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 19 (2016-136). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;

- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 301-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 20 (2016-137). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;

- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 302-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 21 (2016-138). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- change process and criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists:
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;

- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 303-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 22 (2016-139). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving

as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and

 prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 304-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 23 (2016-140). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving

as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and

 prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 305-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 24 (2016-141). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving

as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and

 prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 306-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 25 (2016-142). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- change criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;

- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY 65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 307-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 26 (2016-143). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- change criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;

- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 308-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 10, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution, version 27 (2016-144). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- change criteria for redrawing state legislative districts during reapportionment;
- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for state legislature can accept from individuals or entities:
- establish a limit on gifts that state legislators, and their employees, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit state legislators, and their employees, from serving as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work;

- prohibit political fundraising on general assembly property;
- require legislative records and proceedings to be open to the public; and
- prohibit sexual harassment by state legislators and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 309-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 11, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 28 (2016-145). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving

as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and

 prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 310-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 11, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 29 (2016-146). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving

as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and

 prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-0021

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 311-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 11, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 30 (2016-147). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving

as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and

 prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O.Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 312-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 11, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article VII of the Missouri Constitution, version 31 (2016-148). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving

as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and

 prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 21, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 313-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 11, 2015, for our review under § 116.334, RSMo, of a proposed summary statement prepared for the petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution, version 32 (2016-149). The proposed summary statement is as follows:

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

- establish limits on campaign contributions that candidates for statewide, state legislative, and local office can accept from individuals or entities;
- establish a limit on gifts that statewide elected officials, state legislators, their immediate families and certain government employees, and locally elected government officials, can accept from lobbyists;
- prohibit statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees, from serving

as paid lobbyists for a period of time or being paid for campaign work; and

 prohibit sexual harassment by statewide elected officials, state legislators, and their employees?

Pursuant to § 116.334, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the proposed statement. Because our review of the statement is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition, nor as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER Attorney General



Attorney General of Missouri

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 314-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This office received your letter of December 14, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Edward Greim (16-152). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

When cigarette tax increases are fully implemented, estimated additional revenue to state government is \$263 million to \$374 million annually, with limited estimated implementation costs. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. The fiscal impact to local governmental entities is unknown.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 315-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 14, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 37 (2016-160).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 316-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 14, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 38 (2016-161).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 809 (573) 751-3321

December 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 317-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 14, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 39 (2016-162).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 800 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 318-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 14, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Article XIV of the Missouri Constitution submitted by Bradley Ketcher, version 40 (2016-163).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 23, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 319-2015

The Honorable Nicole Galloway Missouri State Auditor State Capitol, Room 121 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Auditor Galloway:

This office received your letter of December 15, 2015, submitting a fiscal note and fiscal note summary prepared under § 116.175, RSMo, for an initiative petition submitted by Bradley Ketcher (16-153). The fiscal note summary that you submitted is as follows:

Estimated additional revenue to state government is \$42 million to \$50 million annually. The revenue will fund only programs and services allowed by the proposal. Local government revenues could decrease approximately \$1.5 million annually due to decreased cigarette sales.

Under § 116.175.4, RSMo, we approve the legal content and form of the fiscal note summary. Because our review of the fiscal note summary is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the initiative petition or as the expression of any view regarding the objectives of its proponents.

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 320-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andy Zellers, version 10 (2016-173).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 321-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andy Zellers, version 11 (2016-174).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

Jefferson City 65102

P.O. Box 800 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 322-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andy Zellers, version 12 (2016-175).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 323-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andy Zellers, version 13 (2016-176).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 324-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andy Zellers, version 14 (2016-177).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 325-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andy Zellers, version 15 (2016-178).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours.

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 326-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andy Zellers, version 16 (2016-179).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 327-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 17, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andy Zellers, version 17 (2016-180).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3021

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 328-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andrew Linhares, version 1 (2016-181).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 329-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andrew Linhares, version 2 (2016-182).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 330-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

CHRIS KOSTER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andrew Linhares, version 3 (2016-183).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 331-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition submitted by Andrew Linhares, version 4 (2016-184).

We conclude that the petition must be rejected for at least the following reasons:

- 1. The petition is not in the form prescribed by § 116.040, RSMo.
- 2. The petition does not contain "all matter which is to be deleted included in its proper place enclosed in brackets and all new matter shown underlined" as required pursuant to § 116.050, RSMo.

Because of our rejection of the form of the petition for the reasons stated above, we have not reviewed the petition to determine if additional deficiencies exist. Pursuant to § 116.332.4, RSMo, the Secretary of State is

The Honorable Jason Kander Page 2

authorized to review this opinion and "make a final decision as to the approval or rejection of the form of the petition."

Very truly yours,

CHRIS KOSTER



Attorney General of Missouri

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 332-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andrew Linhares, version 5 (2016-185).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 333-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andrew Linhares, version 6 (2016-186).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 334-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andrew Linhares, version 7 (2016-187).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 335-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andrew Linhares, version 8 (2016-188).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MISSOURI JEFFERSON CITY

65102

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 336-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andrew Linhares, version 9 (2016-189).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER



JEFFERSON CITY 65102

P.O. Box 899 (573) 751-3321

CHRIS KOSTER ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 28, 2015

OPINION LETTER NO. 337-2015

The Honorable Jason Kander Missouri Secretary of State James C. Kirkpatrick State Information Center 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Kander:

This opinion letter responds to your request dated December 18, 2015, for our review under § 116.332, RSMo, of the sufficiency as to form of an initiative petition to amend Chapter 386, Revised Statutes of Missouri, submitted by Andy Zellers, version 18 (2016-190).

We approve the petition as to form, but § 116.332 gives the Secretary of State final authority to approve or reject the petition. Therefore, our approval of the form of the petition does not preclude you from rejecting the petition.

Because our review of the petition is simply for the purpose of determining sufficiency as to form, the fact that we do not reject the petition is not to be construed as a determination that the petition is sufficient as to substance. Likewise, because our review is mandated by statute, no action that we take with respect to such review should be construed as an endorsement of the petition or of the objectives of its proponents, or the expression of any view respecting the adequacy or inadequacy of the petition generally.

Very truly yours

CHRIS KOSTER